

COURSE EVALUATION REPORT

Type	Evaluation of implemented SWARM course
Institution	UPKM - Faculty of Technical Sciences
Course title	Groundwater use, protection and management
ECTS	6
Reporting date	12/4/2021
Report author(s)	Prof. Dr. Nebojsa Arsic, Jelena Djokic, Aleksandra Petrovic, Vladimir Maksimovic, JulijanaVrzic

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

SWARM COURSE DESCRIPTION

with special reference to learning outcomes

Course description:**Course objective**

Acquisition of knowledge and theoretical bases about natural groundwater systems and its way of functioning. Introduction with problems of use and management of groundwater with special emphasis on problems of monitoring and protection groundwater.

Learning outcomes

The student should understand the groundwater system (phenomena, processes, management goals, use, protection). A student should master certain ways of perceiving and forecasting the transformation of groundwater quality. The student should have skills to distinguish criteria and methods of protection and to apply certain protection procedures in the field, the student will have competencies to implement a sustainable way of wastewater management.


Problems encountered during the realization of course

Please add your comments, if any:

Evaluation details

Results of general evaluation of implemented course


Description					
Table/Figure					
General evaluation of implemented course					
Grading	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
How do you judge the ECTS of the course in comparison with the respective work load?	9	4	0	0	0
In this course, are students encouraged to participate actively in class (e.g., through group work, as well as self-regulated, problem-oriented learning)?	7	5	1	0	0
In this course, is the infrastructure (size and condition of the room, technical equipment) is very good?	9	4	0	0	0
Are the subjects dealt with in this course often discussed in relationship to practical examples (relevance to practice)?	7	5	1	0	0
Overall, this course fosters very strongly my interest in this subject	10	3	0	0	0



Results of evaluation of teaching staff


Description						
Table/Figure						
Evaluation of teaching staff						
Grading	No	Slightly	Moderate	Yes	Very much	I do not know/ I don't answer
Does the teacher make complex theory understandable?	0	0	1	3	8	1
Does the teaching staff properly organize the presentation of the course material?	0	0	0	3	9	1
Does the lecturer encourage the students to ask questions and comment	0	0	0	4	8	1

in a critical way?						
Is the teaching staff accessible to the students?	0	0	1	3	8	1



Results of evaluation of summarized questions

Description						
Table/Figure						
Evaluation of summarized questions						
Grading	Bad	Not good	Moderate	Good	Very good	I do not know/ I don't answer
Overall, which is your opinion about the course?	0	0	0	3	9	1
Overall, which is your opinion for the teaching staff?	0	0	0	3	9	1

**Additional comments**

What I especially like about the course?

Please add your comments, if any:

What could be improved in the course?

Please add your comments, if any:


Comments regarding the questions/the evaluation

Please add your comments, if any:

Please indicate your suggestions for further improvement:

Place and date:

Dr. Jelena Djokic



Kosovska Mitrovica, 12th April 2021